

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | · FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/691,817 | 10/23/2003 | Scott Temovits | 010394-9088-00 | 6307 | |
| 23409 | 7590 02/04/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP | | | HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN | | |
| | EE, WI 53202 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | • | 3611 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/04/200 | DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| 0.00 | 10/691,817 | TERNOVITS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | A | | | |
| | Gary C Hoge | 3611 | າ ——— | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | s | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a release of the second of the specified above, the maximum statutory perioner is period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the second of the | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | • | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ one drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stag | je | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Intendow | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No. | (s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> . | 5) Notice of 6) Other: | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/691,817 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schirer.

Schirer discloses a portable display device 10 a first panel 14 having a front surface and a rear surface; a second panel 16 movably coupled to the first panel (via a third panel 12) and having a front surface and a rear surface; and a marquee surface 52 integral with the first and second panels such that when the first and second panels are coplanar (Fig. 1), the marquee surface is substantially coplanar with the first and second panels, and when the first and second panels are angled with respect to one another, the marquee surface can be pushed out of the plane and project away from the first and second panels (Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Schirer discloses that the hinge line is a "perforation," which is a deformed area (claim 5) and a cut (claim 6).

Regarding claim 9, see Fig. 1. The corners of the first and second panels are curved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,817 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schirer in view of Howard.

Schirer discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However,

Schirer does not disclose a footer integral with and movably coupled to one of the first and
second panels. Howard teaches that it was known in the art to provide footers 9, 11 that are
integral with and movably coupled to first and second panels of a folding display. It would have
been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide
the folding display disclosed by Schirer with footers, as taught by Howard, in order to improve
the stability of the display.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 18 and 19 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,817 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C Hoge whose telephone number is (703) 308-3422. After April 5, 2005, the examiner's telephone number will be (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary C Hoge Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611

gch